

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,459	01/22/2002	Hans Beer	2265/50685	6980
23911 7590 06/27/2008 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/051,459	HANS BEER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	larch 2008.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
A) Claim(s) 1.2 and 15-17 is/are pending in the appear 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-2 and 15-17 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/051,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-2 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beer et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 1/3/08 Office action.

Claims 1-2 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beer et al. in view of Hasebe et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 1/3/08 Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states the Office has too narrowly focused on the brushing feature and has missed the novelty of the instant invention. The pending claims are directed to a process of mechanically brushing a membrane. The Office has addressed these limitations in the above rejections. Based on the pending claims, there are not any other issues related to the novelty of the instant invention.

Applicant states Beer et al. not only fails to teach the mechanical brushing, but additionally fails to teach the brushing while rinsing with water. In the absence of a showing of unexpected results, the Office maintains it would have been within the skill

of the art to brush the membrane while it is being rinsed as reiterated in the above rejections.

Applicant states "sodium alky sulfonate" is not the only anionic wetting agent that could be used to perform the instant invention. The original specification and claims do not describe any specific "anionic wetting agent". In the absence of a more complete description of what the "anionic wetting agents" could be, any discussion of specific agents, such as "sodium alkyl sulfonate" are not commensurate with the pending claims.

Applicant states Dr. Beer's 9/28/07 1.132 Declaration shows the unexpected results of the instant invention. The 1/3/08 remarks by the Office detail why this Declaration is not convincing. Furthermore, the 9/28/07 Declaration is not commensurate in scope with the pending claims.

Applicant has supplied several documents for consideration. The filing of these documents does not comply with 37 CFR 1.197 that requires the references are cited on a PTOL-1449 and a certification or 1.17(p) fee. Consequently, the Office has not considered the documents. However, if Applicant complies with the requirements for citation of art, the references will be fully considered.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1797

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

/Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797